



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/677,058	09/29/00	FRIERY		Ε	14097	y	
- PM82/1003				EXAMINER			
SALLY J BROV	11102/1000		LUM,L				
AUTOLIV ASP INC				ART UNIT PAPER N		NUMBER	
3350 AIRPORT ROAD OGDEN UT 84405				3611 DATE MAILED:	10/03/0	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

, '	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/677,058		Friery et al			
	Examiner Lum, Lee S),	Art Unit 3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the corre	spondence add			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replibe considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing. 	ly within the statutory minin will apply and will expire SI	num of thirty (3	30) days will S from the mailing	. 6 422)		
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			, , , ,	,		
1) 🛛 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 29, 29</u>	000					
D						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	on is non- <u>final.</u>					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance ex closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	cept <u>for formal matter</u> rte Qua w 335 C.D. 11:	s, prosecuti 453 O.G. 2	on as to the me	erits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-29</u>			is/are pen	ding in the applica.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5)				re allowed.		
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u>				e rejected.		
7)				e objected to		
8) Claims						
Application Papers		-				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar-	e objected to by the E	xaminer				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			b) disapprove	ed		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner		.,	-,,,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	,	() (–)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	een received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	een received in Applic	ation No	·			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (**See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified in the certified copies of the priority documents 	ments have been rece PCT Rule 17 2(a))	eived in this				
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pric						
Attachment(s)		\- \(\cdot \)				
[2]	18) Interview Summan (PT	3.413) Danar Na	(e)			
5) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
7 VI	20) Other:	.,	- -,			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1A. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al 5499842 in view of Sugiyama et al 5685560.

Re Claims 1, 3-9 and 11-13, Yamamoto discloses cover 10 for safety restraint device M comprising

face portion 11/12 positioned between the device and interior compartment of the vehicle, the face portion comprising exterior side (unidentified) facing away from the device, and,

seam 15 in the face portion.

The patent does not disclose a nonlinear portion having a plurality of bends, while Sugiyama shows this configuration in Figs. 8-9 (seam in membrane switch). However, this particular feature is a design choice because it does not affect the functional objective of the seam or cover.

Re Claim 10, the patents do not disclose the bends as having nonuniform size and shape, but this feature is also a design choice, and immaterial to patentability.

Re Claim 14, Yamamoto discloses the face portion as formed by single-shot construction (Col. 4, line 62), and operates exclusively of an outer layer.

NOTE: The process by which an apparatus is made has no patentable weight.

Re Claims 24 and 26-29, the patents disclose the recited elements as just described.

1.15

1B. Claims 2, 15 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto in view of Sugiyama, and in further view of Rheinlander 5863064.

The previous patents do not disclose an outer, and cosmetic, layer to the exterior of the face portion while Rheinlander discloses outer layer 20, with interior layer 22 having seam 30.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an outer layer having a cosmetic surface attached to the face portion, which includes the tear seam, for adaptation to different applications.

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure, in addition to the art listed on the IDS filed 1/26/01: Kreile 6199897, Goto et al 6129378, Rahmstorf et al 6106003, Proos et al 5335935.
- 3. Communication with the Examiner and USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ms. Lum at telephone number (703) 305-0232, 9-530, M-F. Her supervisor, Ms. Judy Swann, can be reached at (703) 306-4115.

Our fax number is (703) 308-2571. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer assistance at (703) 306-5771.

J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Ms. Lee S. Lum Examiner 9/25/01